

On the complexity of the isomorphism relation for finitely generated groups ^{*}

Simon Thomas [†] and Boban Velickovic [‡]

Abstract

Confirming a conjecture of Hjorth and Kechris [HK], we prove that the isomorphism relation for finitely generated groups is a universal essentially countable Borel equivalence relation. We also prove the corresponding result for the conjugacy relation for subgroups of the free group F_2 on two generators.

Introduction

Given a class \mathcal{K} of structures for a fixed countable first order language \mathcal{L} , it is natural to ask what kinds of complete invariants can be used to classify the elements of \mathcal{K} up to isomorphism. For those classes consisting of the countable models of some $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence, Friedman and Stanley [FS] proposed to use the methods of descriptive set theory to study their possible invariants and defined the notion of Borel reducibility between such classes of structures. In [HK], Hjorth and Kechris continued this study and situated it within the general theory of Borel equivalence relations. This provided tools for the analysis of the isomorphism relation and a framework for measuring the complexity of possible invariants.

^{*} 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* Primary 04A15, 20A10 Secondary 20E06, 20F05

[†] Research partially supported by NSF grants

[‡] Work done while visiting DIMACS, which is an NSF Science and Technology Centre, funded under contract STC-91-19999; and which also receives support from the New Jersey Commission on Science and Technology.

In this paper, we shall show that the isomorphism relation for finitely generated groups is as complex as it conceivably could be. We shall also prove the corresponding result for the conjugacy relation for subgroups of the free group F_2 on two generators. The proofs are straightforward arguments in combinatorial group theory, and we shall refer to descriptive set theory only for the relevant definitions and for motivation for the results.

Since most algebraists will be unfamiliar with descriptive set theory, this introductory section contains a detailed discussion of the relevant background material. In particular, we have provided worked examples from the theory of infinite abelian groups to illustrate each of the main notions. It should be stressed that none of the results in the introduction are due to the authors; and all of these results are well-known to the experts in this area. However, this introduction was not written for the experts.

We shall begin by presenting the three examples which we will be using throughout the introduction.

Example 1. Let \mathcal{K}_0 be the class of countable divisible abelian groups. If $G \in \mathcal{K}_0$, then $G = D \oplus T$, where T is the torsion subgroup and D is torsion-free. Let $r_0(G) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the rank of D ; and for each prime p , let $r_p(G) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the rank of the p -component T_p of T . Then the invariant

$$\rho(G) = (r_0(G), r_2(G), r_3(G), \dots, r_p(G), \dots)$$

determines G up to isomorphism. (For example, see Section 19 of Fuchs [F].)

Example 2. Let \mathcal{K}_1 be the class of rank 1 torsion-free abelian groups; ie. $G \in \mathcal{K}_1$ iff G is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{Q} . In this case, we can obtain a complete invariant as follows. Let $G \in \mathcal{K}_1$ and let $0 \neq a \in G$. For each prime p , the p -height $h_p(a)$ of a is the maximum integer $k \geq 0$ such that the equation $p^k x = a$ is solvable in G , if such a maximum k exists. Otherwise, $h_p(a) = \infty$. The sequence

$$h(a) = (h_2(a), h_3(a), \dots, h_p(a), \dots)$$

is called the *height* of a . If we choose another element $0 \neq b \in G$, then $h(b)$ is not necessarily equal to $h(a)$. However, it is similar to $h(a)$ in the following sense. Let $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{K}_1$ and let $a_i \in G_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the heights $h(a_1), h(a_2)$ are *similar*, written $h(a_1) \sim h(a_2)$, iff

- (i) $h_p(a_1) = h_p(a_2)$ for almost all primes p ; and
- (ii) if $h_p(a_1) \neq h_p(a_2)$, then both $h_p(a_1)$ and $h_p(a_2)$ are finite.

Clearly \sim is an equivalence relation. If $G \in \mathcal{K}_1$, then the *type* of G is the \sim -equivalence class $\tau(G) = [h(a)]$, where a is any nonzero element of G . By Theorem 42.2 [F], the invariant $\tau(G)$ determines G up to isomorphism.

Example 3. For each $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{K}_n be the class of torsion-free abelian groups of rank at most n ; ie. $G \in \mathcal{K}_n$ iff G is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^n . Currently no satisfactory complete invariants for the elements of \mathcal{K}_n are known. (For a discussion of this problem, see Section 45 of Fuchs [F].)

It is natural to ask whether a less complex complete invariant exists for the class \mathcal{K}_1 , and whether there exists any satisfactory complete invariant for the class \mathcal{K}_n when $n \geq 2$. In order to have the tools to give negative answers to these kinds of questions, we shall formulate these problems within the context of descriptive set theory.

First we shall describe how to represent the class of countably infinite structures of a given countable first order language by the elements of a Polish space. For convenience, we shall work only with relational first order languages. If there are function symbols, as is the case with the language of group theory, then we replace them by relation symbols representing the graphs of the associated functions. Given a countable language $\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\}$, where R_i is an n_i -ary relation symbol, let

$$X_{\mathcal{L}} = \prod_{i \in I} 2^{\mathbb{N}^{n_i}}.$$

(Here $2^{\mathbb{N}^{n_i}}$ denotes the space of all n_i -ary functions $f : \mathbb{N}^{n_i} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with the natural product topology.) Then $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a Polish space; and the elements of $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ code \mathcal{L} -structures with universe \mathbb{N} as follows. Given $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in X_{\mathcal{L}}$ the structure

$$\mathcal{M}_x = \langle \mathbb{N}, R_i^x \rangle_{i \in I}$$

represented by x is defined by:

$$R_i^x(k_1, \dots, k_{n_i}) \Leftrightarrow x_i(k_1, \dots, k_{n_i}) = 1.$$

For $x \in X_{\mathcal{L}}$, we let $[x] = \{y \in X_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{M}_y \cong \mathcal{M}_x\}$ denote the isomorphism class of x . The symmetric group S_{∞} on \mathbb{N} is a Polish group

with the usual product topology inherited from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$; and $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ together with the natural action of S_{∞} is a Polish S_{∞} -space. Notice that $x, y \in X_{\mathcal{L}}$ lie in the same S_{∞} -orbit iff $\mathcal{M}_x \cong \mathcal{M}_y$. For this reason, the action is usually called the *logic action* of S_{∞} on $X_{\mathcal{L}}$. Given an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ sentence σ ,

$$\text{Mod}(\sigma) = \{x \in X_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{M}_x \models \sigma\}$$

represents the class of all countably infinite models of σ . Note that $\text{Mod}(\sigma)$ is an isomorphism-invariant Borel subset of $X_{\mathcal{L}}$. Moreover, by a theorem of Lopez and Escobar, every such set is of the form $\text{Mod}(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$. We shall denote the restriction of the isomorphism relation \cong to $\text{Mod}(\sigma)$ by \cong_{σ} ; and when working with $\text{Mod}(\sigma)$, we shall usually identify \mathcal{M}_x with x .

In this paper, we shall be particularly interested in the class FGG of all finitely generated groups. Clearly this class is axiomatizable by an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ sentence in the language $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$ consisting of a ternary relation which represents the graph of the multiplication operation.

The notion of Borel reducibility will enable us to compare the complexity of equivalence relations on Borel subsets of Polish spaces; and, in particular, will provide us with a measure of the complexity of the isomorphism relation \cong_{σ} . If X and Y are Borel sets and E and F are equivalence relations on X and Y respectively, then we say that E is *Borel reducible* to F and write $E \leq_B F$ if there exists a Borel function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $xEy \Leftrightarrow f(x)Ff(y)$. This means that elements of X can be effectively classified up to E -equivalence by invariants which are themselves F -equivalence classes. We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* and write $E \sim_B F$ if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We say that E is *smooth* if there exists a Polish space Y such that $E \leq_B \Delta(Y)$, where $\Delta(Y)$ is the equality relation on Y . If \cong_{σ} is smooth, then we say that the models of σ are *concretely classifiable*.

Example 1 continued. Let σ_0 be the sentence of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ which axiomatizes the class of divisible abelian groups. We shall show that $\text{Mod}(\sigma_0)$ is concretely classifiable. To accomplish this, we shall show that the invariant

$$\rho(G) = (r_0(G), r_2(G), r_3(G), \dots, r_p(G), \dots)$$

can be coded naturally by an element γ_G of the Polish space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the increasing enumeration of the primes and let

$\pi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be a recursive bijection. Then we can define a Borel function $f : Mod(\sigma_0) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $f(G) = \gamma_G$, where

- (i) $\gamma_G(k) = 1$ if $\pi(k) = \langle \ell, n \rangle$ and $p_\ell^n \leq r_{p_\ell}(G)$; and
- (ii) $\gamma_G(k) = 0$ otherwise.

Clearly if $G, H \in Mod(\sigma_0)$, then $G \cong H$ iff $f(G) = f(H)$.

There are many examples of non-smooth Borel equivalence relations, the simplest of them being the *Vitali equivalence relation* E_0 on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, defined by $x E_0 y$ iff there exists an integer n such that $x(m) = y(m)$ for all $m \geq n$.

Example 2 continued. Let σ_1 be the sentence of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ which axiomatizes the class of rank 1 torsion-free abelian groups. We shall show that $\cong_{\sigma_1} \sim_B E_0$. In particular, $Mod(\sigma_1)$ is not concretely classifiable; and it is not possible to find a less complex complete invariant for $Mod(\sigma_1)$ than the type $\tau(G)$. First we define a Borel function $f : Mod(\sigma_1) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows. Let $G = \langle \mathbb{N}, * \rangle \in Mod(\sigma_1)$ and let a be the least nonidentity element of G . Then $f(G) = \varphi_G$, where

- (i) $\varphi_G(k) = 1$ if $\pi(k) = \langle \ell, n \rangle$ and $n \leq h_{p_\ell}(a)$; and
- (ii) $\varphi_G(k) = 0$ otherwise.

Notice that if $G, H \in Mod(\sigma_1)$, then $G \cong H$ iff $\varphi_G E_0 \varphi_H$. Thus $\cong_{\sigma_1} \leq_B E_0$.

Next we shall define a Borel function $g : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Mod(\sigma_1)$. First fix an effective bijection $\beta : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Then for every subgroup A of \mathbb{Q} , β induces a canonical isomorphism of A with a corresponding element $A^\beta \in Mod(\sigma_1)$. Now let $\varphi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$; and let $h = (h_2, h_3, \dots, h_p, \dots)$ be the corresponding height, as in the previous paragraph. Let A be the subgroup of \mathbb{Q} which is generated by the rational numbers p^{-k} for all primes p and all $k \leq h_p$. Then $1 \in A$ and $h(1) = (h_2, h_3, \dots, h_p, \dots)$. Finally let $g(\varphi) = A^\beta \in Mod(\sigma_1)$ be the corresponding group which is canonically isomorphic to A . Clearly if $\varphi, \psi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, then $\varphi E_0 \psi$ iff $g(\varphi) \cong g(\psi)$. Thus $E_0 \leq_B \cong_{\sigma_1}$. Hence we have shown that $\cong_{\sigma_1} \sim_B E_0$.

In general, if σ is an arbitrary sentence of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$, then the isomorphism relation \cong_σ is analytic rather than Borel. But there is a large class of theories σ for which \cong_σ is a Borel relation. In particular, \cong_σ is Borel for those theories σ whose models have “finite rank” in a broad sense, such as: the theory of finitely generated groups, or

more generally finitely generated structures in a given language; the theory of finite rank torsion-free abelian groups; the theory of fields of finite transcendence degree, etc. In order to study these examples, it is useful to introduce the notion of an essentially countable equivalence relation. An equivalence relation E is said to be *countable* if each equivalence class of E is countable. Given a theory σ , we say that \cong_σ is *essentially countable* iff $\cong_\sigma \leq_B E$ for some countable Borel equivalence relation E on a Borel set X . In this case, Kechris [Ke1] has shown that there exists a countable Borel equivalence relation F such that $\cong_\sigma \sim_B F$. There is a natural model-theoretic characterisation of essentially countable theories; namely Hjorth and Kechris [HK] have shown that the following are equivalent:

- (i) \cong_σ is essentially countable;
- (ii) there is a countable fragment $F \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ containing σ such that if $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{N}, - \rangle$ is a model of σ , there exists $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ such that $\text{Th}_F(\langle \mathcal{M}, \bar{a} \rangle)$ is \aleph_0 -categorical.

(Condition (ii) can be interpreted as saying that the models of σ have “finite rank” in some sense.) Now for such σ , we can use the theory of countable Borel equivalence relations to study the possible complete invariants of $\text{Mod}(\sigma)$. (For the general theory of such equivalence relations, see [JKL].)

The least complex countable Borel equivalence relations are those which are smooth. Next in complexity come those countable Borel equivalence relations E such that E and the Vitali equivalence relation E_0 are Borel bireducible. It turns out that there is also a most complex countable Borel equivalence relation. Define a countable Borel equivalence relation E to be *universal* if $F \leq_B E$ for any other countable Borel equivalence relation F . If such an equivalence relation exists, then it is clearly unique up to Borel bireducibility. But before we can explain why a universal countable Borel equivalence relation exists, we first need to introduce some group-theoretic notation.

In this paper, permutation groups always act on the right. If (G, Ω) is a permutation group, $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $g \in G$, then the image of α under g will be denoted by either αg or α^g ; and the corresponding orbit equivalence relation will be denoted by $E(G, \Omega)$. Given any set X and any group G , the *shift action* of G on $X^G = \{f \mid f : G \rightarrow X\}$ is defined by

$$f^g(h) = f(hg^{-1}).$$

Now we are ready to explain why there exists a universal countable Borel equivalence relation. Let F_ω be the free group on countably many generators and let $E(F_\omega, \Omega)$ be the orbit equivalence relation of the shift action of F_ω on $\Omega = (2^\mathbb{N})^{F_\omega}$. Following [DJK], we shall show that $E(F_\omega, \Omega)$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation. So suppose that E is any countable Borel equivalence relation on a Borel subset X of a Polish space. Then a remarkable theorem of Feldman and Moore [FM] states that there is a countable group H and a Borel action of H on X such that $E = E(H, X)$. Let $\pi : F_\omega \rightarrow H$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then we can define a Borel action of F_ω on X by

$$x \mapsto x.g^\pi$$

for each $x \in X$ and $g \in F_\omega$. Clearly we have that $E(F_\omega, X) = E(H, X) = E$. Now let $(B_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of Borel subsets of X which separate the points of X ; and let $x \mapsto f_x$ be the Borel map from X to $\Omega = (2^\mathbb{N})^{F_\omega}$ such that

$$(f_x(g))(n) = 1 \text{ iff } x.g^{-1} \in B_n$$

for each $x \in X$, $g \in F_\omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then this map is injective, and it is easily checked that $f_x^g = f_{x.g}$ for each $x \in X$ and $g \in F_\omega$. Consequently $x E(F_\omega, X) y$ iff $f_x E(F_\omega, \Omega) f_y$; and so $E(F_\omega, X) \leq_B E(F_\omega, \Omega)$. Thus $E(F_\omega, \Omega)$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation.

Unfortunately $E(F_\omega, \Omega)$ is a little unwieldy to work with. But let $E(F_2, 2^{F_2})$ be the orbit equivalence relation induced by the shift action of the free group F_2 on two generators on 2^{F_2} . In [DJK], it was shown that $E(F_2, 2^{F_2})$ is also a universal countable Borel equivalence relation. Clearly the permutation group $(F_2, 2^{F_2})$ can be naturally identified with the permutation group $(F_2, \mathcal{P}(F_2))$, where F_2 acts on its powerset $\mathcal{P}(F_2)$ via right translations; and so $E_\infty = E(F_2, \mathcal{P}(F_2))$ and $E(F_2, 2^{F_2})$ are Borel bireducible. If $\cong_\sigma \sim_B E_\infty$, then we say that \cong_σ is a universal essentially countable Borel equivalence relation. In this case, it follows that any kind of complete invariants used to classify models of \cong_σ must necessarily be rather complex. (For a more detailed discussion and many examples, we refer the reader to [HK].)

Example 3 continued. For each $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{K}_n be the class of torsion-free abelian groups of rank at most n . Then each \cong_{σ_n} is an essentially countable Borel equivalence relation. In [HK], Hjorth and Kechris conjectured that $\cong_{\sigma_n} \sim_B E_\infty$ for each $n \geq 2$. If true,

this would explain why no satisfactory complete invariants have been discovered for $Mod(\sigma_n)$. Recently Hjorth [H] has shown that if $n \geq 2$, then \cong_{σ_n} is *not* Borel reducible to the Vitali equivalence relation E_0 . In particular, it is now known that if $n \geq 2$, then the isomorphism relation \cong_{σ_n} is strictly more complex than the isomorphism relation \cong_{σ_1} .

The main result of this paper is that the isomorphism relation for finitely generated groups is a universal essentially countable Borel equivalence relation. This proves Conjecture 5.8 of [HK]. We shall also prove that the conjugacy relation for subgroups of the free group F_2 is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation. This improves a result of Stuck and Zimmer [SZ], who proved that this conjugacy relation is not smooth.

Finally we shall prove that the theory of finitely generated groups does not admit canonical models. Here a sentence σ of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ is said to *admit canonical models* if there exists an “effectively definable” function f which to each countable model $\mathcal{M} \in Mod(\sigma)$ assigns a countable model $f(\mathcal{M})$ of σ such that

$$\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N} \text{ implies } f(\mathcal{M}) = f(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathcal{M}.$$

We usually cannot expect to choose f so that the structure $f(\mathcal{M})$ also has universe \mathbb{N} ; since Burgess has shown that for any sentence σ of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $Mod(\sigma)$ is concretely classifiable;
- (ii) there exists a Borel function $f : Mod(\sigma) \rightarrow Mod(\sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$ implies $f(\mathcal{M}) = f(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathcal{M}$.

In [HK], Hjorth and Kechris showed that there are many interesting theories which are not concretely classifiable and yet do admit canonical models, including the theories of rank 1 torsion-free abelian groups and of rigid locally finite trees; and they also proved that the theory of locally finite trees does not admit canonical models.

Example 2 continued. In order to give the reader a sense of what is meant by “effectively definable”, we shall show that the theory σ_1 of rank 1 torsion-free abelian groups admits canonical models. Let $G = \langle \mathbb{N}, * \rangle \in Mod(\sigma_1)$ and let a be the least nonidentity element of G . Let

$$H_G = \{(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_p, \dots) : t \sim h(a)\};$$

and for each $t \in H_G$, let A_t be the subgroup of \mathbb{Q} which is generated by the rational numbers p^{-k} for all primes p and all $k \leq t_p$. Then for each pair $t, u \in H_G$, there exists a canonical isomorphism $\pi_{t,u} : A_t \rightarrow A_u$ defined as follows. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}$ be the set of primes p such that $t_p \neq u_p$; and let

$$r_{t,u} = \frac{p_1^{t_{p_1}} \cdots p_\ell^{t_{p_\ell}}}{p_1^{u_{p_1}} \cdots p_\ell^{u_{p_\ell}}}.$$

Then $x^{\pi_{t,u}} = r_{t,u}x$ for all $x \in A_t$. Notice that if $t, u, v \in H_G$, then $\pi_{t,v} = \pi_{t,u}\pi_{u,v}$. Hence we can define an equivalence relation \sim on $X = \bigcup\{A_t \times \{t\} : t \in H_G\}$ by

$$\langle x, t \rangle \sim \langle y, u \rangle \text{ iff } x^{\pi_{t,u}} = y.$$

For each element $\langle x, t \rangle \in X$, let $[x, t]$ be the corresponding \sim -equivalence class. Let $f(G) = \{[x, t] : \langle x, t \rangle \in X\}$, equipped with the group operation defined by

$$[x, t] * [y, u] = [z, v] \text{ iff } x * y^{\pi_{u,t}} = z^{\pi_{v,t}}.$$

Then clearly $G_1 \cong G_2$ implies that $f(G_1) = f(G_2) \cong G_1$.

It is quite difficult to give a precise formulation of the notion of “effectively definable” in the case when $Mod(\sigma)$ is not concretely classifiable. An exact definition can be found in Section 7 of [HK]; but unfortunately some set-theoretic sophistication is necessary in order to understand this definition. Fortunately Hjorth and Kechris have found the following algebraic property which is equivalent to admitting canonical models. Suppose that G is a Polish group and that $a : X \times G \rightarrow X$ is a Borel action of G on the Borel space X . Let E_a be the associated orbit equivalence relation on X . A Borel function $\alpha : E_a \rightarrow G$ is called a *cocycle* if whenever $x E_a y$ and $y E_a z$, then $\alpha(x, z) = \alpha(x, y)\alpha(y, z)$. We say that the action a has the *cocycle property* if there exists a Borel cocycle α such that $a(x, \alpha(x, y)) = y$. (For the basic facts concerning Polish group actions, we refer the reader to [BK] or [Ke2].) In [HK], Hjorth and Kechris proved that for any sentence σ of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) σ admits canonical models;
- (ii) the logic action of S_∞ on $Mod(\sigma)$ has the cocycle property.

Thus the question of whether σ admits canonical models is intimately connected with the question of whether it is possible to define *canonical isomorphisms* between isomorphic models of σ .

We shall end this introduction with a few more words on our group-theoretic notation. Suppose that F is a group. Then for each $x \in F$, the right and left translation maps by x will be denoted by x^ρ , x^λ respectively. We define $F^\rho = \{x^\rho : x \in F\}$ and $F^\lambda = \{x^\lambda : x \in F\}$. The *holomorph* of F is the group

$$\text{Hol}(F) = \langle F^\rho, \text{Aut}(F) \rangle \leq \text{Sym}(F).$$

It is easily checked that if $x \in F$ and $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F)$, then $\pi^{-1}x^\rho\pi = (x^\pi)^\rho$. Thus $\text{Hol}(F) = F^\rho \rtimes \text{Aut}(F)$. It follows easily that each $\varphi \in \text{Hol}(F)$ can be expressed as

$$\varphi = g^\rho\pi = \theta h^\lambda$$

for suitably chosen elements $g, h \in F$ and $\pi, \theta \in \text{Aut}(F)$.

Given groups G_1 and G_2 with a common subgroup H , we denote the free product of G_1 and G_2 with amalgamation over H by $G_1 *_H G_2$. If X is a set of right coset representatives of H in G_1 such that $1 \in X$ and Y is a set of right coset representatives of H in G_2 such that $1 \in Y$, then every element of $G_1 *_H G_2$ has a *unique normal form*

$$g = ha_1 \dots a_n$$

where $h \in H$, each $a_i \in (X \cup Y) \setminus \{1\}$, and a_i, a_{i+1} are not both in X or both in Y . We shall repeatedly use the fact that any element of $G_1 *_H G_2$ of finite order is conjugate to an element of either G_1 or G_2 . For these and other basic results in combinatorial group theory, we refer the reader to [LS], [MKS], or [Se].

1 The holomorph action

Let $F = \langle a, b \rangle$ be the free group on two generators. Let (F, Ω) be the permutation group such that $\Omega = F$, and F acts on Ω via the right regular representation. In the next section, for each $S \subseteq \Omega$, we shall construct a finitely generated group G_S such that $G_S \cong G_T$ iff there exists an automorphism (π, φ) of the permutation group (F, Ω) with $S^\varphi = T$. Remember that the pair (π, φ) is an automorphism of (F, Ω) iff:

- (i) $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F)$;
- (ii) $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a bijection; and
- (iii) $(x^\varphi)^{g^\pi} = (x^g)^\varphi$ for each $x \in \Omega$ and $g \in F$.

There are two basic kinds of automorphism of (F, Ω) .

(I) For each $a \in F$, let $i_a \in \text{Inn}(F)$ be the corresponding inner automorphism. Then (i_a, a^ρ) is an automorphism of (F, Ω) .

(II) For each $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F)$, (π, π) is an automorphism of (F, Ω) .

It turns out that every automorphism of (F, Ω) is the product of an automorphism of type (I) followed by an automorphism of type (II). (This is essentially proved in the next section.) Thus $G_S \cong G_T$ iff there exists $\varphi \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $S^\varphi = T$. Consequently, in order to show that the isomorphism relation for finitely generated groups is a universal essentially countable Borel equivalence relation, we must first show that $E(\text{Hol}(F), \mathcal{P}(F))$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation. For technical reasons, we shall work with $\mathcal{P}^*(F) = \mathcal{P}(F) \setminus \{\emptyset, F\}$ rather than with $\mathcal{P}(F)$. Of course, it is easily shown that $E(F, \mathcal{P}^*(F)) \sim_B E_\infty = E(F, \mathcal{P}(F))$.

Theorem 1 $E(\text{Hol}(F), \mathcal{P}^*(F))$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation.

PROOF: Clearly $E(\text{Hol}(F), \mathcal{P}^*(F))$ is a countable Borel equivalence relation. Thus it suffices to show that: $E(F, \mathcal{P}^*(F)) \leq_B E(\text{Hol}(F), \mathcal{P}^*(F))$. Let $[F, F]$ be the commutator subgroup of F . By [Serre, p.6], $[F, F]$ is a free group of infinite rank. Let $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a set of free generators of $[F, F]$ and let $G = \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$.

Claim 1 $N_F(G) = G$.

PROOF: Let $N = N_F(G)$. By [MKS, Exercise 2.4.5], whenever H is a finitely generated subgroup of a free group, then H has finite index in its normalizer. In particular, $[N : G] < \infty$. Clearly $N \cap [F, F] = G$. Applying the Second Isomorphism Theorem, we see that

$$N/G = N/(N \cap [F, F]) \cong N/[F, F]/[F, F] \leq F/[F, F].$$

Thus N/G is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of the torsion-free group $F/[F, F] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $N = G$.

□

For each subset $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(G)$, we define:

$$\widehat{S} = S \sqcup x_2G \sqcup [F, F]a \sqcup [F, F]b \sqcup [F, F]a^2.$$

Notice that $S \sqcup x_2G \subsetneq [F, F]$. Suppose now that $T \in \mathcal{P}^*(G)$ and that there exists $\pi \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $\widehat{S}^\pi = \widehat{T}$. We shall show that $\pi = g^\rho$ for some $g \in G$ such that $Sg = T$. This will complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $\bar{F} = F/[F, F] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Then under the natural mapping

$$\varphi : \text{Aut}(F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bar{F})$$

we have that:

- (a) φ maps $\text{Aut}(F)$ onto $\text{Aut}(\bar{F}) \cong GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$; and
- (b) $\ker \varphi = \text{Inn}(F)$, the group of inner automorphisms of F .

(For example, see [LS, Proposition 4.5]). Notice that F^ρ also acts naturally on \bar{F} by translations. Thus $\text{Hol}(F)$ also acts on $\bar{F} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ as the group of affine transformations $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Since π permutes the cosets of $[F, F]$, and both \widehat{S} and \widehat{T} consist of three complete cosets and one nonempty proper subset of a coset, it follows that

$$(S \sqcup x_2G)^\pi = T \sqcup x_2G.$$

In particular, $[F, F]^\pi = [F, F]$. Hence if we identify $[F, F]a$ and $[F, F]b$ with the vectors $\mathbf{v} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, then π corresponds to an affine transformation $\bar{\pi}$ such that

- (i) $(0, 0)^{\bar{\pi}} = (0, 0)$; and
- (ii) $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, 2\mathbf{v}\}^{\bar{\pi}} = \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, 2\mathbf{v}\}$.

Let $\pi = g^\rho\theta$, where $g \in F$ and $\theta \in \text{Aut}(F)$. By (i), $g \in [F, F]$; and so g^ρ acts trivially on \bar{F} . Consequently, $\bar{\pi}$ is the linear transformation induced by θ . By (ii), we must have that $\bar{\pi} = 1$. It follows that $\theta \in \ker \varphi = \text{Inn}(F)$; say, θ is conjugation by h . Thus for all $c \in F$, we have that $c^\pi = h^{-1}cgh$. Hence $\pi = y^\lambda z^\rho$, where $y = h^{-1}$ and $z = gh$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} T \sqcup x_2G &= (S \sqcup x_2G)^\pi \\ &= ySz \sqcup yx_2Gz \\ &= yzz^{-1}Sz \sqcup yx_2zz^{-1}Gz \\ &= yzS^z \sqcup yx_2zG^z. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 2 $x_2G = yx_2zG^z$.

PROOF: Let $A = T \sqcup x_2G$. Then A consists of a complete and a partial coset of G in F . First we shall explain how to group-theoretically recognise the complete coset in this decomposition. Let $a = x_2g \in x_2G$. Then for each element $b = x_2h \in x_2G$, we have that

$$ba^{-1}b = x_2hg^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_2h \in x_2G.$$

On the other hand, if $b \in T \leq G$, then

$$ba^{-1}b = bg^{-1}x_2^{-1}b \notin G \sqcup x_2G.$$

For each $a \in A$ define:

$$S(a) = \{b \in A : ba^{-1}b \in A\}.$$

Then we have just shown that if $a \in x_2G$, then $S(a) = x_2G$. It is easily checked that if $a \in T$, then $S(a) \subseteq T$. Thus $a \in x_2G$ iff there exists $g \in F$ with $A \subseteq S(a) \cup gS(a)$.

Now consider the decomposition $A = yzS^z \sqcup yx_2zG^z$. This time, we have expressed A as a complete and a partial coset of G^z in F . Arguing as above, we find that if $a \in yx_2zG^z$, then $yx_2zG^z \subseteq S(a)$; and hence there exists $g \in F$ with $A \subseteq S(a) \cup gS(a)$. Consequently, $yx_2zG^z \subseteq x_2G$; and so $G^z \leq G$. If we also had that $yzS^z \cap x_2G \neq \emptyset$, this would yield that $yzG^z \cap x_2G \neq \emptyset$, and so $yzG^z \subseteq x_2G$. But this implies that

$$A \subseteq yzG^z \sqcup yx_2zG^z \subseteq x_2G,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $yx_2zG^z = x_2G$.

□

In particular, $G^z = G$ and so $z \in N_F(G) = G$. Thus $x_2G = yx_2zG^z = yx_2G$; and so $y \in x_2Gx_2^{-1}$. We also have that $yS^z = T$, and so $yG = G$. Hence $y \in G \cap x_2Gx_2^{-1} = \{1\}$. But this means that $\pi = z^p$ for some $z \in G$ such that $Sz = T$, as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

□

2 Finitely generated groups

In this section, we prove our main theorem which says that the isomorphism relation on the class FGG of finitely generated groups is a universal essentially countable Borel equivalence relation. Formally, in this section, we are required to produce groups with underlying set \mathbb{N} . But since the groups which we define all have *canonical* isomorphisms to such groups, we can consider FGG to be an isomorphism-invariant Borel subset of $X_{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$ is the language consisting of a ternary relation R which represents the graph of the multiplication operation. Throughout this section, we let \mathbb{F} denote the 2-element field $GF(2)$ and $V = \bigoplus_{w \in F} \mathbb{F}\tilde{w}$ denote the vector space over \mathbb{F} with basis indexed by elements of F . Thus V is an elementary abelian 2-group which is isomorphic to $([F]^{<\omega}, \Delta)$, the group of all finite subsets of F with the symmetric difference operation Δ . Let $P = V \rtimes F$, where $g^{-1}\tilde{w}g = \widetilde{wg}$ for each $w, g \in F$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\tilde{w} : w \in F\}$; so that \mathcal{B} is a basis of the vector space V .

Lemma 1 *\mathcal{B} is the unique F -orbit on V such that $V = \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$.*

PROOF: Suppose otherwise. Then for some $n > 1$, there exists an n -subset $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ of F such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\widetilde{w_1 g_i} + \dots + \widetilde{w_n g_i}) = \tilde{1}$$

for some $g_1, \dots, g_n \in F$. But then, working in the group ring $\mathbb{F}[F]$, we obtain the equation

$$(w_1 + \dots + w_n)(g_1 + \dots + g_n) = 1.$$

Thus $(w_1 + \dots + w_n)$ is a nontrivial unit in $\mathbb{F}[F]$. But it is well-known that the group ring $\mathbb{F}[F]$ has no nontrivial units. (For example, see [Pa, Chapter 13].)

□

Lemma 2 *Suppose that $\pi \in \text{Aut}(P)$. Then:*

(i) $\mathcal{B}^\pi = \mathcal{B}$; and

(ii) there exists $\varphi \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $\tilde{w}^\pi = \widetilde{w^\varphi}$ for all $w \in F$.

PROOF: Clearly V is a characteristic subgroup of P ; and so $V^\pi = V$. By Lemma 1, \mathcal{B} is the set of elements v of V such that the conjugacy class v^P generates V . Hence $\mathcal{B}^\pi = \mathcal{B}$. Clearly there exists an automorphism $\theta \in \text{Aut}(F)$ and a function $w \mapsto v_w$ from F into V such that

$$w^\pi = v_w w^\theta$$

for all $w \in F$. Let $\tilde{1}^\pi = \tilde{x}$; and let $\varphi = \theta x^\lambda \in \text{Hol}(F)$. We claim that $\tilde{w}^\pi = \widetilde{w^\varphi}$ for all $w \in F$. To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}^\pi &= (w^{-1} \tilde{1} w)^\pi \\ &= (w^\theta)^{-1} v_w^{-1} \tilde{x} v_w w^\theta \\ &= \widetilde{x w^\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For each $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$, we shall define an associated finitely generated group G_S such that $G_S \cong G_T$ iff there exists $\pi \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $S^\pi = T$. First we shall define an auxillary group H_S . It is almost certainly true that H_S already satisfies our requirements; but when we attempted to verify this, we found that our arguments were becoming unpleasantly complicated. So in order to keep the proofs as straightforward as possible, we decided to work with the slightly larger group G_S .

Definition 1 For each $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$, let

$$H_S = \langle P, t \mid t^3 = 1, [t, \tilde{w}] = 1 \text{ for } w \in S \rangle.$$

Lemma 3 Let $\pi = \theta x^\lambda \in \text{Hol}(F)$, where $x \in F$ and $\theta \in \text{Aut}(F)$. If $S^\pi = T$, then we can define an isomorphism $f : H_S \rightarrow H_T$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}^f &= \widetilde{w^\pi}, & w \in F \\ g^f &= g^\theta, & g \in F \\ t^f &= t. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: This is an easy calculation. Simply note that $\widetilde{w g^f} = \widetilde{x (w g)^\theta}$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{-1} \tilde{w} g)^f &= (g^\theta)^{-1} \widetilde{x w^\theta} g^\theta \\ &= \widetilde{x w^\theta g^\theta} \\ &= \widetilde{x (w g)^\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus f induces an automorphism of $P = V \rtimes F$ such that $\{\tilde{w} : w \in S\}^f = \{\tilde{w} : w \in T\}$. The result follows. \square

Definition 2 For each $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$, let:

$$G_S = \langle H_S, z \mid z^5 = 1, [z, t] = 1, [z, \tilde{w}] = 1 \text{ for all } w \in F \rangle.$$

Note that G_S is generated by $\{\tilde{1}, a, b, t, z\}$. Thus it suffices to prove the following result.

Theorem 2 $G_S \cong G_T$ iff there exists $\pi \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $S^\pi = T$.

Given this, we see that the map which to each $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F_2)$ associates G_S is a Borel reduction of $E(\text{Hol}(F_2), \mathcal{P}^*(F_2))$ to (FGG, \cong) ; and thus, by Theorem 1, we obtain the following.

Theorem 3 (FGG, \cong) is a universal essentially countable Borel equivalence relation.

We shall prove Theorem 2 via a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 4 If there exists $\pi \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $S^\pi = T$, then $G_S \cong G_T$.

PROOF: Let $f : H_S \rightarrow H_T$ be the isomorphism defined in Lemma 3. Then we can extend f to an isomorphism $\hat{f} : G_S \rightarrow G_T$ by setting $z^{\hat{f}} = z$. \square

From now on, fix some $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$. We first define some important subgroups of G_S .

Definition 3 (i) $V_S = \bigoplus_{w \in S} \mathbb{F}\tilde{w}$

(ii) $B_S = V_S \oplus \langle t \rangle$

(iii) $C_S = \langle V, t \rangle$

(iv) $D_S = C_S \oplus \langle z \rangle$.

Now we shall describe some important structural features of G_S . The following lemma is completely straightforward.

Lemma 5 *We have the following decompositions as free product with amalgamation:*

- (a) $C_S = V *_{V_S} B_S$
- (b) $H_S = P *_{V_S} B_S$
- (c) $G_S = H_S *_{C_S} D_S$.

Lemma 6 $D_S = N_{G_S}(\langle z \rangle)$.

PROOF: Let $X = \{1, z, z^2, z^3, z^4\}$, so that X is a set of right coset representatives of C_S in D_S . Let Y be a set of right coset representatives of C_S in H_S such that $1 \in Y$. Then each element of $G_S = H_S *_{C_S} D_S$ has a *unique normal form*

$$g = ca_1 \dots a_n$$

where $c \in \tilde{C}_S$, each $a_i \in (X \cup Y) \setminus \{1\}$, and a_i, a_{i+1} are not both in X or both in Y . Since every element of D_S commutes with z , it follows that $D_S \leq N_{G_S}(\langle z \rangle)$. For the other direction, suppose g normalises $\langle z \rangle$ and let $g = ca_1 \dots a_n$ be its normal form. Then there exists an integer $1 \leq \ell \leq 4$ such that $g^{-1}zg = z^\ell$. Thus

$$cza_1 \dots a_n = ca_1 \dots a_n z^\ell.$$

It follows easily that $a_1, a_n \in X \setminus \{1\}$; and then a moment's thought shows that $n = 1$ and $\ell = 1$. Hence $g \in D_S$.

□

Lemma 7 $P = N_{H_S}(V)$.

PROOF: This time we work with the free product with amalgamation decomposition $H_S = P *_{V_S} B_S$. Choose $I = \{1, t, t^2\}$ as a set of right coset representatives of V_S in B_S ; and let J be a set of right coset representatives of V_S in P such that $1 \in J$. Clearly $P \leq N_{H_S}(V)$. Let $g \in N_{H_S}(V)$ be any element and let $g = ac_1 \dots c_n$ be its normal form. Choose any $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$c_n^{-1} \dots c_1^{-1} a^{-1} \tilde{w} a c_1 \dots c_n = c_n^{-1} \dots c_1^{-1} \tilde{w} c_1 \dots c_n \in V.$$

If $c_1 \in I \setminus \{1\}$, choose $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \tilde{S}$, where $\tilde{S} = \{\tilde{w} : w \in S\}$. Then $c_n^{-1} \dots c_1^{-1} \tilde{w} c_1 \dots c_n$ is essentially in normal form, and hence is not an element of V . Thus $c_1 \in P$. If $n > 1$, choose \tilde{w} such that $c_1^{-1} \tilde{w} c_1 \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \tilde{S}$. Once again, we reach a contradiction. Thus $g = ac_1 \in P$.

□

Now we are ready to begin the proof of Theorem 2. So suppose that $\varphi : G_S \rightarrow G_T$ is an isomorphism for some $S, T \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$. Let $u = z^\varphi$. Then u has order 5. By [MKS, Corollary 4.4.5], u is contained in a conjugate of either H_T or D_T . Hence, by adjusting φ by an inner automorphism of G_T , we can suppose that $z^\varphi \in \langle z \rangle$. Applying Lemma 6, we now find that

$$D_S^\varphi = N_{G_S}(\langle z \rangle)^\varphi = N_{G_T}(\langle z \rangle) = D_T.$$

Notice that

$$D_S = (V \oplus \langle z \rangle) *_{V_S \oplus \langle z \rangle} (B_S \oplus \langle z \rangle).$$

Hence, by [MKS, Corollary 4.5], $Z(D_S) = V_S \oplus \langle z \rangle$. It follows that

$$(V_S \oplus \langle z \rangle)^\varphi = V_T \oplus \langle z \rangle;$$

and hence $V_S^\varphi = V_T$. Choose any element $v \in V \setminus V_S$. Then v^φ is conjugate in D_T to an element of $V \setminus V_T$. (Note that any element $v \in V \setminus V_S$ is noncentral, and hence is not conjugate to an element of $V_S \leq Z(D_S)$. We will make repeated use of this observation.) Hence, after adjusting φ by an inner automorphism corresponding to a suitably chosen element of D_T , we can suppose that:

- (i) $\langle z \rangle^\varphi = \langle z \rangle$,
- (ii) $V_S^\varphi = V_T$, and
- (iii) there exists $v \in V \setminus V_S$ such that $v^\varphi \in V \setminus V_T$.

Claim 3 $V^\varphi = V$

PROOF: Choose any $x \in V \setminus V_S$. Then:

- (a) neither x^φ nor v^φ is contained in a conjugate of $V_T \oplus \langle z \rangle$;
- (b) $v^\varphi \in V \oplus \langle z \rangle$;
- (c) $[x^\varphi, v^\varphi] = 1$.

By [MKS, Theorem 4.5], $x^\varphi \in V \oplus \langle z \rangle$ and so $x^\varphi \in V$. Thus $V^\varphi \leq V$. Since V is clearly a maximal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of D_S , it follows that $V^\varphi = V$.

□

This implies that $N_{G_S}(V)^\varphi = N_{G_T}(V)$. In particular, $P^\varphi \leq N_{G_T}(V)$. Now let:

$$\psi : G_T = H_T *_C D_T \rightarrow H_T$$

be the homomorphism such that $h^\psi = h$ for all $h \in H_T$, and $z^\psi = 1$. Note that $N_{G_T}(V)^\psi \leq N_{H_T}(V) = P$; and so $N_{G_T}(V)^\psi = P$. Let $\pi = \psi \circ \varphi \upharpoonright P$. Then:

- (1) $V^\pi = V$,
- (2) $V_S^\pi = V_T$, and
- (3) $P^\pi \leq P$.

Claim 4 $\pi \in \text{Aut}(P)$.

PROOF: First we shall show that π is injective. Suppose $1 \neq x \in \ker \pi$. Let $x = vg$, where $v \in V$ and $g \in F$. Since $\pi \upharpoonright V$ is an automorphism of V , we must have $g \neq 1$. But then

$$x^{-1}\tilde{1}x = g^{-1}\tilde{1}g = \tilde{g} \neq \tilde{1}.$$

Applying π , we obtain that $(x^\pi)^{-1}\tilde{1}^\pi x^\pi \neq \tilde{1}^\pi$. Hence $x^\pi \neq 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\ker \pi = \{1\}$.

Now we shall show that π is surjective. Let $v \in V$ be any element, and let $w \in V$ be the element such that $w^\pi = v$. Then there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n \in F$ such that

$$w = g_1^{-1}\tilde{1}g_1 + \dots + g_n^{-1}\tilde{1}g_n.$$

Applying π , we obtain that

$$v = w^\pi = (g_1^\pi)^{-1}\tilde{1}^\pi g_1^\pi + \dots + (g_n^\pi)^{-1}\tilde{1}^\pi g_n^\pi.$$

Hence $\{h^{-1}\tilde{1}^\pi h : h \in P^\pi\}$ generates V ; and so $\{h^{-1}\tilde{1}^\pi h : h \in P^\pi\} = \mathcal{B}$. In particular, there exists $a \in F$ such that $\tilde{1}^\pi = \tilde{a}$. Now let $g \in F$ be any element. Then there exists $h \in P^\pi$ such that

$$h^{-1}\tilde{a}h = h^{-1}\tilde{1}^\pi h = \tilde{a}g.$$

It follows that $h = ug$ for some $u \in V$; and so $g \in P^\pi$. Hence π is surjective.

□

By Lemma 2, $\mathcal{B}^\pi = \mathcal{B}$ and there exists $\theta \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $\tilde{w}^\pi = \tilde{w}^\theta$, for all $w \in F$. Notice that

$$\{\tilde{w} : w \in S\}^\pi = (V_S \cap \mathcal{B})^\pi = V_T \cap \mathcal{B} = \{\tilde{w} : w \in T\}.$$

It follows that $S^\theta = T$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

□

In the remainder of this section, we shall prove that the theory of finitely generated groups does not admit canonical models. We shall make use of the following results of Hjorth and Kechris [HK].

Theorem 4 *If $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$, then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) σ admits canonical models.
- (ii) The logic action of S_∞ on $\text{Mod}(\sigma)$ has the cocycle property.

Theorem 5 *The shift action of the free group $F = \langle a, b \rangle$ on $\mathcal{P}(F)$ does not have the cocycle property.*

It follows easily that the shift action of F on $\mathcal{P}^*(F) = \mathcal{P}(F) \setminus \{\emptyset, F\}$ also does not have the cocycle property.

Lemma 8 *The action of $\text{Hol}(F)$ on $\mathcal{P}^*(F)$ does not have the cocycle property.*

PROOF: We shall use the notation which was introduced in the proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that the Borel cocycle α witnesses that the action $(\text{Hol}(F), \mathcal{P}^*(F))$ has the cocycle property. Consider the shift action of G on $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$. Then whenever $S, T \in \mathcal{P}^*(G)$ lie in the same G -orbit, the cocycle α selects an element $\pi = \alpha(\widehat{S}, \widehat{T}) \in \text{Hol}(F)$ such that $\widehat{S}^\pi = \widehat{T}$. Now the proof of Theorem 1 shows that $\pi = g^\rho$ for some element $g \in G$ such that $Sg = T$. But then the Borel function β defined by

$$\beta(S, T) = \alpha(\widehat{S}, \widehat{T})$$

witnesses that the action $(G, \mathcal{P}^*(G))$ has the cocycle property, which is a contradiction.

□

Lemma 9 $N_{G_T}(\langle z \rangle) \cap N_{G_T}(V) = V \oplus \langle z \rangle$.

PROOF: Using Lemmas 6 and 7, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{G_T}(\langle z \rangle) \cap N_{G_T}(V) &= D_T \cap N_{G_T}(V) \\ &= N_{C_T}(V) \oplus \langle z \rangle \\ &= (P \cap C_T) \oplus \langle z \rangle \\ &= V \oplus \langle z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6 *Let σ be the theory of finitely generated groups. Then the logic action of S_∞ on $Mod(\sigma)$ does not have the cocycle property.*

PROOF: We shall use the notation which was introduced in the proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that the Borel cocycle α witnesses that the logic action of S_∞ on $Mod(\sigma)$ has the cocycle property. For each $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$, there exists an element $\mathcal{M}_S \in Mod(\sigma)$ and a canonical isomorphism $\pi_S : G_S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S$. Thus whenever $G_S \cong G_T$, then the map

$$\beta(G_S, G_T) = \pi_S \alpha(\mathcal{M}_S, \mathcal{M}_T) \pi_T^{-1}$$

is an isomorphism from G_S onto G_T . Furthermore, if $G_S \cong G_T \cong G_U$, then

$$\beta(G_S, G_U) = \beta(G_S, G_T) \beta(G_T, G_U).$$

Now identify F with the subset $\mathcal{B} = \{\tilde{w} : w \in F\}$; and define γ by

$$\gamma(S, T) = \beta(G_S, G_T) i_a \upharpoonright \mathcal{B} \in Sym(F),$$

where $a \in G_T$ is any element such that $\beta(G_S, G_T) i_a$ maps $\langle z \rangle$ onto $\langle z \rangle$ and maps V onto V . Then the proof of Theorem 2 shows that $\gamma(S, T)$ is an element of $Hol(F)$ such that $S^{\gamma(S, T)} = T$. Furthermore, if $b \in G_T$ is another element such that $\beta(G_S, G_T) i_b$ maps $\langle z \rangle$ onto $\langle z \rangle$ and maps V onto V , then

$$a^{-1}b \in N_{G_T}(\langle z \rangle) \cap N_{G_T}(V) = V \oplus \langle z \rangle \leq C_{G_T}(V);$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(G_S, G_T) i_b \upharpoonright \mathcal{B} &= \beta(G_S, G_T) i_a i_{a^{-1}b} \upharpoonright \mathcal{B} \\ &= \beta(G_S, G_T) i_a \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\gamma(S, T)$ is independent of the choice of the element $a \in G_T$.

Now suppose that $G_S \cong G_T \cong G_U$; and let $a \in G_T$ and $b \in G_U$ be such that $\gamma(S, T) = \beta(G_S, G_T)i_a \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$ and $\gamma(T, U) = \beta(G_T, G_U)i_b \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$. Let $\pi = \beta(G_S, G_T)$ and $\varphi = \beta(G_T, G_U)$. Then an easy calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(G_S, G_T)i_a\beta(G_T, G_U)i_b &= \pi i_a \varphi i_b \\ &= \pi \varphi i_{a\varphi} i_b \\ &= \beta(G_S, G_T)\beta(G_T, G_U)i_{a\varphi b} \\ &= \beta(G_S, G_U)i_{a\varphi b}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\gamma(S, T)\gamma(T, U) = \gamma(S, U)$; and so γ witnesses that the action of $Hol(F)$ on $\mathcal{P}^*(F)$ has the cocycle property. But this contradicts Lemma 8.

□

Combining Theorems 4 and 6, it follows that the theory of finitely generated groups does not admit canonical models.

3 Conjugacy of subgroups

In this section, we shall show that another natural action of the free group F_2 gives rise to a universal countable Borel equivalence relation. For any group G , let $Sg(G)$ denote the set of all subgroups of G . If G is countable, then $Sg(G)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ with the product topology and thus is a Polish space. Let $(G, Sg(G))$ denote the conjugacy action of G on $Sg(G)$. In [SZ, Lemma 3.9], Stuck and Zimmer proved that the countable Borel equivalence relation $E(F_2, Sg(F_2))$ is not smooth. The main theorem of this section is the following strengthening of their result.

Theorem 7 *$E(F_2, Sg(F_2))$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation.*

We begin by making some easy reductions of the problem. For each $n \geq 1$, F_n denotes the free group on n generators.

Definition 4 *A subgroup H of a group G is said to be malnormal iff whenever $g \in G \setminus H$, then $g^{-1}Hg \cap H = \{1\}$.*

Proposition 1 $E(F_n, Sg(F_n))$ is Borel reducible to $E(F_2, Sg(F_2))$ for each $n \geq 1$.

PROOF: By [KS, p.950], the set $\{a^\ell b^\ell a^\ell : \ell \geq 1\}$ freely generates a malnormal subgroup T of the free group $F = \langle a, b \rangle$. For each $\ell \geq 1$, let $x_\ell = a^\ell b^\ell a^\ell$. Then $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is clearly a malnormal subgroup of T ; and hence G is also a malnormal subgroup of F .

Suppose that $H_1, H_2 \in Sg(G) \setminus \{1\}$ and that $g \in F$ satisfies $g^{-1}H_1g = H_2$. Then $g^{-1}Gg \cap G \neq \{1\}$, and so $g \in G$. Thus $E(G, Sg(G))$ is Borel reducible to $E(F, Sg(F))$.

□

Let $(Aut(F_5), Sg(F_5))$ denote the natural action of $Aut(F_5)$ on $Sg(F_5)$. Most of our effort will go into proving the following result.

Theorem 8 $E(Aut(F_5), Sg(F_5))$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation.

Before proving Theorem 8, we shall use it to complete the proof of Theorem 7. First note that there is a natural embedding $F_5 \hookrightarrow Aut(F_5)$, obtained by sending each $w \in F_5$ to the corresponding inner automorphism $i_w \in Inn(F_5)$. Identify F_5 with $Inn(F_5)$ via this natural embedding. Then $F_5 \trianglelefteq Aut(F_5)$ and the natural action of $Aut(F_5)$ becomes identified with the conjugacy action of $Aut(F_5)$ on $Inn(F_5)$, since $\pi^{-1}i_w\pi = i_{w^\pi}$ for $w \in F_5$, $\pi \in Aut(F_5)$. Thus we can regard $(Aut(F_5), Sg(F_5))$ as a subaction of the conjugation action $(Aut(F_5), Sg(Aut(F_5)))$. Now recall that $Aut(F_5)$ is a finitely presented group, say arising from the surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi : F_n \twoheadrightarrow Aut(F_5).$$

For each subgroup H of $Aut(F_5)$, let $\widetilde{H} = \varphi^{-1}[H]$. Note that if H_1, H_2 are subgroups of $Aut(F_5)$ then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) There exists $\pi \in Aut(F_5)$ such that $\pi^{-1}H_1\pi = H_2$.
- (b) There exists $w \in F_n$ such that $w^{-1}\widetilde{H}_1w = \widetilde{H}_2$.

Thus $E(Aut(F_5), Sg(F_5))$ is Borel reducible to $E(F_n, Sg(F_n))$; and applying Proposition 1, we obtain Theorem 7.

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 8. Let $F = \langle a, b \rangle$; and for each $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$, let G_S be the group which was defined in §3. Note that G_S has the following presentation.

- **Generators:** $\tilde{1}, a, b, t, z$, which we regard as generators of F_5 .
- **Relators:**
 - $w^{-1}\tilde{1}\tilde{1}w$, for $w \in F$;
 - $[w^{-1}\tilde{1}w, u^{-1}\tilde{1}u]$, for $w, u \in F$;
 - $[t, w^{-1}\tilde{1}w]$, for $w \in S$;
 - $[z, t]$
 - $[z, w^{-1}\tilde{1}w]$, for $w \in F$.

For each $S \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$, let N_S be the normal subgroup of F_5 , *generated as a normal subgroup* by the relators for G_S . Thus $G_S \cong F_5/N_S$. Theorem 8 is an immediate consequence of the following result.

Lemma 10 *For $S, T \in \mathcal{P}^*(F)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F_5)$ such that $N_S^\pi = N_T$.*
- (b) *$G_S \cong G_T$.*

PROOF: It is clear that (a) implies (b), and so we concentrate on proving that (b) implies (a). Notice first that the proof in §3 shows that there exists $\varphi = \theta x^\lambda \in \text{Hol}(F)$, where $x \in F$ and $\theta \in \text{Aut}(F)$ such that $S^\varphi = T$. Define a homomorphism $\pi : F_5 \rightarrow F_5$ by:

- $a \mapsto a^\theta$
- $b \mapsto b^\theta$
- $t \mapsto t$
- $z \mapsto z$
- $\tilde{1} \mapsto x^{-1}\tilde{1}x$.

Notice that $\{a^\theta, b^\theta, t, z, x^{-1}\tilde{1}x\}$ generates F_5 and hence is a basis of F_5 . Thus $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F_5)$. It only remains to check that $N_S^\pi = N_T$. So we consider the action of π on each normal generator of N_S . First,

$$w^{-1}\tilde{1}\tilde{1}w \mapsto (w^\theta)^{-1}x^{-1}\tilde{1}\tilde{1}xw^\theta.$$

So π merely permutes these relators. Similarly π permutes the relators of the form $[w^{-1}\tilde{1}w, u^{-1}\tilde{1}u]$, and the relators of the form $[z, w^{-1}\tilde{1}w]$; and fixes the relator $[z, t]$. Finally we see that

$$[t, w^{-1}\tilde{1}w] \mapsto [t, (w^\theta)^{-1}x^{-1}\tilde{1}xw^\theta] = [t, (xw^\theta)^{-1}\tilde{1}xw^\theta],$$

and $T = \{xw^\theta : w \in S\}$. Hence $N_S^\pi = N_T$.

□

The methods of this section clearly yield the following result.

Theorem 9 *Let G be a countable group. If G has a malnormal free nonabelian subgroup, then $E(G, Sg(G))$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation.*

In particular, if G is an arbitrary countable nonabelian free group, then $E(G, Sg(G))$ is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation. We hope to give some less trivial applications of Theorem 9 in a later paper.

References

- [BK] H. Becker and A. Kechris, *The descriptive set theory of Polish group actions*, London Math Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997
- [DJK] R. Dougherty, S. Jackson and A. Kechris, “The structure of hyperfinite Borel equivalence relations”, *Transactions of the American Math Society*, vol. 341, (1994), pp. 193-225.
- [FM] J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, “Ergodic equivalence relations and von Neumann algebras I”, *Transactions of the American Math Society*, vol. 234, (1977), pp. 289-324.
- [FS] H. Friedman and L. Stanley, “A Borel reducibility theory for classes of countable structures”, *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 54, (1989), pp. 894-914.
- [F] L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1967.
- [H] G. Hjorth, “Around nonclassifiability for countable torsion-free abelian groups, preprint (1998).
- [HK] G. Hjorth and A. Kechris, “Borel equivalence relations and classification of countable models”, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 82, (1996), pp. 221-272.
- [JKL] S. Jackson, A. Kechris, and A. Louveau, “Countable Borel equivalence relations”, in preparation.
- [Ke1] A. Kechris, “Countable sections for locally compact group actions”, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems*, vol. 12, (1992), pp. 283-295

- [Ke2] A. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1995
- [KS] A. Karrass and D. Solitar, “The free product of two groups with a malnormal amalgamated subgroup”, *Can. J. Math.*, vol. 23, (1971), pp. 933-959.
- [LS] R.C. Lyndon and P.E. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1977
- [MKS] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1966
- [Pa] D.S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1977
- [Se] J.P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer Verlag, 1980
- [SZ] G. Stuck and R. J. Zimmer, “Stablizers for ergodic actions of higher rank semisimple groups”, *Annals Math.*, vol. 139 (1994), pp. 723-747.

S. Thomas
Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, NJ 08903
U.S.A.

B. Velickovic
Equipe de Logique, URA 753
Universite de Paris 7
2 Place Jussieu
75251 Paris, FRANCE