## Nonstandard Models and Analytic Equivalence Relations

Sy D. Friedman<sup>1</sup> and Boban Velickovic

**Abstract.** We improve a result of Hjorth[93] concerning the nature of thin analytic equivalence relations. The key lemma uses a weakly compact cardinal to construct certain non-standard models, which Hjorth obtained using #'s.

The purpose of this note is to improve the following result of Hjorth [93].

**Theorem.** (Hjorth) Suppose that for every real  $x, x^{\#}$  exists. Let E be an analytic equivalence relation,  $\Sigma_1^1$  in parameter  $x_0$ . Then either there exists a perfect set of pairwise E-inequivalent reals or every E-equivalence class has a representative in a set-generic extension of  $L[x_0]$ .

Hjorth's proof makes use of his analysis of nonstandard Ehrenfeucht-Mostowski models built from #'s. Instead, we construct the necessary nonstandard models using infinitary model theory, assuming only the existence of weak compacts.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that for every real x there is a countable ordinal which is weakly compact in L[x]. Then the conclusion of the Theorem still holds.

The main lemma is the following.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that there is a weakly compact cardinal  $\kappa$  in L[x], x a real, such that  $\kappa^+$  of L[x] is countable. Then there is a countable nonstandard  $\omega$ -model  $M_x$  of ZF such that  $x \in M_x$  and  $L(M_x) = (L$  in the sense of  $M_x$ ) has an isomorphic copy in a set-generic extension of  $L[x_0]$ , for any real  $x_0$ .

It is not known if the conclusion of Lemma 2 holds in ZFC alone, for arbitrary x (with ZF replaced by an arbitrary finite subtheory).

**Proof of Theorem 1 from Lemma 2.** Suppose that E is an analytic equivalence relation,  $\Sigma_1^1$  in the parameter  $x_0$  and choose an  $x_0$ -recursive tree T on  $\omega \times \omega \times \omega^{\omega}$  that  $xEy \longleftrightarrow T(x,y)$  has a branch. For each countable ordinal  $\alpha$  we define  $xE_{\alpha}y \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{rank}(T(x,y))$  is at least  $\alpha$ ; then  $E_{\alpha}$  is Borel in  $(x_0,c)$  where c is any real coding  $\alpha$  and E is the intersection of the  $E_{\alpha}$ 's. We may assume that each  $E_{\alpha}$  is an equivalence relation. A theorem of Harrington-Silver says that a  $\Pi_1^1$ -equivalence relation has a perfect set of pairwise inequivalent reals or each equivalence class has a representative constructible from the parameter defining the relation. As  $E_{\alpha}$  is Borel in  $(x_0,c)$  where c is a real coding  $\alpha$  and as we may assume that E and hence each  $E_{\alpha}$  has no perfect set of pairwise inequivalent reals, we know that each  $E_{\alpha}$ -equivalence class has a representative in  $L[x_0,c]$  where c is any real coding  $\alpha$ .

Now let x be arbitrary and by Lemma 2 choose a countable nonstandard  $\omega$ -model  $M_x$  of ZF containing  $(x_0, x)$  such that  $L(M_x)$  has an isomorphic copy in a set-generic extension N of  $L[x_0]$ . Let  $a \in \mathrm{ORD}(M_x)$  be nonstandard and let c be a code for a, generic over  $M_x$ ; then by applying Harrington-Silver in  $M_x[c]$  we conclude that there is y in  $L(M_x)[x_0, c]$  such that  $yE_ax$ . By choosing c to be generic over N as well we get that y belongs to a set-generic extension of  $L[x_0]$ . Finally, yEx since if not,  $yE_\alpha x$  would fail for some  $\alpha$  admissible in (y,x) and hence for some (standard)  $\alpha < a$ .

1

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Research}$  supported by NSF Contract # 92–05530. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers: 04A15, 03C75.

**Proof of Lemma 2.** We use infinitary logic. Fix a real x and assume V = L[x]. Let  $\kappa$  be weakly compact and introduce the language  $\mathcal{L}$  consisting of the formulas in the language of set theory with constants  $\underline{a}$  for  $a \in L_{\kappa}[x]$ , closed under conjunctions and disjunctions of size less than  $\kappa$  (however we allow a formula to have only finitely many free variables). Let T be the theory of  $\langle L_{\kappa}[x], a \rangle$ ,  $a \in L_{\kappa}[x]$  in this language. An n-type is a set of formulas  $\Gamma$  with free variables  $v_1 \dots v_n$ , and such a  $\Gamma$  is consistent with T if there is a model M of T and  $m_1 \dots m_n$  in M such that  $M \models \varphi(m_1 \dots m_n)$  for each  $\phi \in \Gamma$ , where M exists in a set-generic extension of V = L[x].  $\Gamma$  is complete if for every  $\varphi(v_1 \dots v_n)$  either  $\varphi$  or  $\sim \varphi$  belongs to  $\Phi$ .

Now work in Levy collapse L[x,c] where c is a real coding  $\kappa^+$  of L[x]. Let  $d_1,d_2,\ldots$  be  $\omega$ -many new constant symbols and for  $D\subseteq\{d_1,d_2,\ldots\}$  let the language  $\mathcal{L}_D$  be defined like  $\mathcal{L}$  but with the new constant symbols from D. Define  $T_0=T\subseteq T_1\subseteq\ldots$  and  $D_0=\phi\subseteq D_1\subseteq D_2\subseteq\ldots$  inductively as follows: if  $T_n,D_n$  have been defined select a complete k-type  $\Gamma_n(\vec{v})$  in L[x] consistent with  $T_n$ , choose  $D_n\subseteq D_{n+1}$  so that card  $(D_{n+1}-D_n)=k$  and let  $T_{n+1}=T_n\cup\Gamma_n(\vec{d})$  where  $\vec{d}$  enumerates  $D_{n+1}-D_n$ . This can be done in such a way that  $\bigcup_n T_n=T^*$  is L[x]-saturated: if  $\Gamma(\vec{v},\vec{w})$  is an L[x]-type,  $\vec{d}$  a finite sequence from D,  $\Gamma(\vec{d},\vec{w})$ 

consistent with  $T^*$  then  $T^*$  includes  $\Gamma(\vec{d}, \vec{e})$  for some  $\vec{e}$ . And note that each  $T_n$  belongs to L[x] (though of course  $T^*$  itself makes use of the Lévy collapse c).

Let  $M_x$  be the model determined by  $T^*$ , whose universe consists of (equivalence classes) of the constants  $d_n, n \in \omega$ . Note that a set in L[x] of sentences in some  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is consistent iff each subset of L[x]-cardinality  $< \kappa$  is. An easy consequence is that  $M_x$  is nonstandard with standard ordinal  $\kappa$ .

Now consider  $L(M_x)$ : every n-type in the language  $\mathcal{L}_0 = (\text{same as } \mathcal{L} \text{ but restricted to } L_{\kappa})$  that is realized in  $L(M_x)$  belongs to L, as each of its initial segments (obtained by restricting to some  $L_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$ ) belongs to L and  $\kappa$  is weakly compact. Also, just as  $M_x$  is saturated for types in L[x],  $L(M_x)$  is saturated for types in L, since again by weak compactness any  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -type in L consistent with T can be extended to a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -type consistent with T in L[x].

Now it is clear that  $L(M_x)$  has an isomorphic copy in L[c]: using c we can do the same construction as we did above in L[x,c], obtaining  $M_0$ , a model that is saturated for  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -types in L and realizing only types in L. Now construct an isomorphism via a back and forth argument in  $\omega$  steps between  $M_0$  and  $L(M_x)$ .

Finally note that by the countability of  $\kappa^+$  of L[x], the desired model  $M_x$  exists not only in L[x,c] but also in V.

**Remark.** Lemma 2 can also be used to establish the following improvement of the Glimm-Effros style dichotomy theorem of Hjorth-Kechris [96]: Let E be a  $\Sigma_1^1$  equivalence relation. Assume that for every real x there is a countable ordinal which is weakly compact in L[x]. Then either  $E_0$  is continuously reducible to E or E is reducible to  $2^{<\omega_1}$  by a function  $\Delta_2^1$  in the codes.

## References

Harrington-Shelah [82] Counting equivalence classes for co- $\kappa$ -Souslin equivalence relations, in Logic Colloquium 80, North Holland, pp. 147–152.

Hjorth [93] Thin Analytic Equivalence Relations and Effective Decompositions, Journal of Symbolic Logic. Vol. 58, No. 4, pp. 1153–1164.

Hjorth-Kechris [96] Analytic Equivalence Relations and Ulm-Type Classifications, to appear, Journal of Symbolic Logic.

Silver [80] Counting the number of equivalence classes for Borel and coanalytic equivalence relations, Annals of Mathematical Logic, Vol. 18, pp. 1–28.